ORIGINAL INTERVENTION



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMIS 1 Arizona Corporation Commission 2 COMMISSIONERS DOCKETED MARC SPITZER, Chairman 3 WILLIAM A. MUNDELL FEB 2 5 2004 JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON DOCKETED BY 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. E-01345A^0775 ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR 7 A DECLARATORY ORDER REGARDING BILL MOTION TO INTERVENE **ESTIMATION PROCEDURES**

Avis Read, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situation, as captioned in Read v. Arizona Public Service Company, Maricopa County Superior Court Case No. CV2002-010760, ("Read"), respectfully submits this motion to the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC") to intervene in the above referenced Application to the ACC by Arizona Public Service Corporation ("APS"). Read moves to intervene as, pursuant to the mandate of Ariz. Admin. Code R14-3-105, she and the Class are directly and substantially affected by the proceedings instituted upon the request of APS.

I. FACTUAL AND LEGAL BASIS FOR INTERVENTION

On June 4, 2002, a class action lawsuit ("Litigation") was filed on behalf of APS customers seeking relief from illegal APS billing and metering practices. While supported by several legal theories, the basis of the Litigation is that APS has been knowingly and systematically secretly billing APS customers for electricity that its customers have not used and that such bills were rendered and collected based on procedures and methodologies that are unlawful¹, unapproved and violate APS's obligations, as a regulated public service company, to the citizens of Arizona.

On October 22, 2003, APS filed an Application before the ACC. The Application, on its face, is directed as a flank attack upon the Litigation by APS. APS filed the Application seeking an order

¹Read's complaint is based on violations of Arizona statutes, Arizona Regulations and common law theories.

26

27

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that it argued would be necessary "in view of pending litigation in Superior Court . . ." (See, APS Application at page 1). Later in the Application, APS explains that the litigation that it had alluded to earlier in its Application is, in fact, the Litigation brought before the Superior Court of Maricopa County by Plaintiff Avis Read. Because APS's Application to the ACC on October 22, 2003 was in direct response to litigation brought by Read, intervention by Read is not only appropriate, but necessary. This is especially true because, apart from APS's acknowledgment that its Application was a direct result of the Litigation, any adjudication or resolution of the matters brought before the ACC by APS through APS's Application may have a collateral effect on issues raised in the Litigation over which the Superior Court has concurrent jurisdiction. Accordingly, intervention is necessary and proper on both legal and factual grounds and will provide a full response to APS's Application if this Motion for Leave to Intervene is granted.

Apart from these factors, as a practical matter, Read strongly believes that her intervention, on behalf of herself and the Class, in this matter would benefit both the ACC and the citizens of the State of Arizona. Through discovery in the Litigation, Read has been able to gain valuable information regarding APS's business practices. Much of this information reveals a pattern of disregard for ACC authority and runs afoul of the position that APS articulates in its Application.

These facts, discovered throughout the course of the Litigation, merit investigation by the ACC.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 25th day of February, 2004.

Barry G. Reed

ZEMMERMAN REED P.L.L.P.

14646 N. Kierland Boulevard, Suite 145

Scottsdale, AZ 85254

(480) 348-6400

(480) 348-6415 Facsimile

David A. Rubin LAW OFFICES OF DAVID A. RUBIN 3550 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1201

Phoenix, AZ 85012-2111 (602) 235-9525

(602) 734-2345 Facsimile

Jeffrey M. Proper LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY M. PROPER 3550 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2111 (602) 235-9555 (602) 235-9223 Facsimile

Attorneys for Avis Read and the Class

1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR A DECLARATORY
2		ORDER REGARDING BILL ESTIMATION PROCEDURES
3	DOCKET NO.:	E-01345A-0775
4		
5	ORIGINAL & TEN (10) COPIES OF THE FOREGOING Filed this 25 th day of February 2004, with:	
6	Arizona Corporation Commission	
7	Docket Control 1200 Washington Street	
8	Phoenix, AZ 85007	
9	ONE (1) COPY OF THE FOREGOING Served via U.S. Mail this 25 th day of February 2004, to:	
10		reducity 2004, to:
11	Thomas L. Mumaw PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL COR	P.
12	Law Department P.O. Box 53999 MS 8695 Phoenix, AZ 85004-3999	
13	Attorney for Arizona Public Service	Company
14	Autorney for Arizona Fublic Service	Сотрину
15	Stacy A. Bethea	
16	State of The Bettipa	
17		
18		
19		
20		